Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/750,533	ADAMS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	!

•				1	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the corresp	ondence addı	ress
THE RE	EPLY FILED <u>30 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDI	TION FOR ALLOW	/ANCE.	
th pl a	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on his application, applicant must timely file one of the follow laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	wing replies: (1) an amer tice of Appeal (with app	ndment, affidavit, o eal fee) in complia	or other evidender or other or	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
	me periods: The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection			
b) [ate set forth in the fin	nal rejection, whi	chever is later. In
٠, ட	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is				
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).			•
have be under 37 set forth may red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	tension and the correspond shortened statutory period f r than three months after th	ling amount of the fed or reply originally set	e. The appropriation the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. 🔲 T fil	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comping the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid of	dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENE	Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS	·	•	` ,	
3. 🛛 1	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of fi	ling a brief, will <u>not</u>	be entered be	ecause
(a	h) $oxtimes$ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE belo	w);	
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •			
(0	 They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or 	tter form for appeal by m	aterially reducing of	or simplifying t	he issues for
(c	I) 🔲 They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of	of finally rejected cl	aims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).			
	he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		of Non-Compliant	Amendment (PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
no	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).		•		,
h	for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) but the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	will not be entered, over the content of t	or b) 🔲 will be ent d.	ered and an ex	xplanation of
	he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed:				•
	laim(s) objected to:				
	laim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> .				•
	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
be	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why	the affidavit or oth	Appeal will <u>not</u> er evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. 🔲 T! er	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections u	nder appeal and/o	r appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. 🔲 -	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation				
	ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			ion for allowan	ce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
			•		
			•		
	,				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims now feature a limitation involving network data including identified and counted emails. Previously there was no counting of emails claimed Therefore the applicant's amendment chanages the scope of the claims and required further search and consideration to determine patentability.